Airfield Frangibility Standard Development: Historical to Future

#### IES ALC, Orlando

Dan Duke, Ph.D. P.E.

October 23, 2014

## **Project Objectives**

- Life safety
- Mitigate damage to aircraft
- Technically well founded
- Clearly understood and documented
- Defined limits and suitability of application
- Consistency
  - Test configurations, instrumentation
  - Analytical methods and results
  - Report requirements
- Realistic and accepted

What and how in the past?

Additional insights?

What and how do we fill the gaps?

- Impactor assembly
  - How dynamic characteristics effect results
  - Reconciling between various test
  - Seeking more specific requirements for impactor assemblies
- Impact location
  - Height on device
  - Proximity to joints (frangible and structural)
- Soft impactors revisited
  - Tradeoffs with rigid impactor
  - Original failure criteria: Damage to main spar revisited

- Flight stability
  - Current example
  - What was done
  - What needs to be done
  - If not an issue document the source
- Vertical forces on impactor
  - Pull down on wing and cut into the wing
  - Is this an issue?
  - If not, then show why

- Material property concerns
  - Documentation
  - Recertification
- Data measurement and reduction
  - Sampling rates
  - Filters
  - Smoothing
- Analytical models
  - Mesh requirements
  - Model details

- What was tested
  - Detailed geometrical information
  - Connection details
  - Material properties (as tested not supplier minimum guarantees)
  - Proximity of impact to connections and frangible joints
  - Impact point on what was tested, how the impactor assembly was constructed

- Impactor assembly
  - Specific information regarding mass, stiffness and dimensions of vehicle / cart
  - Frame members sizes, dimensions, materials and connections
  - Specific connection details at interface to impactor

- Impactor
  - Material of construction (as tested mil certification data for metals)
  - Geometric details including formed edge and formed rib dimensions
  - Connection specifics including weld sizes and fastener specification, dimensions and locations

- Post processing specifics
  - Damage to impactor including distortion, separation and failed connections (if applicable)
  - Specifics regarding data filtering and smoothing (if applicable)
  - Reconciliation of global dynamic response of the impactor and impactor system with intended measurements of force and energy
  - Comparison with pretest predictions and post test calculations

- Post processing specifics
  - Damage to impactor including distortion, separation and failed connections (if applicable)
  - Specifics regarding data filtering and smoothing (if applicable)
  - Reconciliation of global dynamic response of the impactor and impactor system with intended measurements of force and energy
  - Comparison with pretest predictions and post test calculations

- Product consistency
  - Recertification plan
  - Plan to avoid material property changes
  - As tested versus as supplied data sheets
  - Proposed submittal package including
    - Detailed drawings
    - Test report
    - Pretest analysis
    - Material certifications
    - Fastener strengths
    - Calculations to support deviation

Questions or Comments?